Written by Alex Lynn

© Alex Lynn - July 2020

Written by Alex Lynn

Contents

Chapter	Page
1. Introduction	
2. Data	
3. Methodology	11
4. Analysis	12
5. Results	
6. Discussion	
7. Conclusion	

Written by Alex Lynn



1. Introduction

This research is intended on finding the best suitable location for a Peruvian restaurant in the city of Cambridge, UK. The report is designed for any stakeholder who would like to make a calculated risk before leasing a retail space.

The main criteria for this report are to find a location that is close to an area where there is a good choice of entertainment, but where there are not any restaurants that provide similar cuisine type (e.g. Mexican, Brazilian etc).

Cambridge is a city with a big demographic of tourists and foreign students, so appealing to a type of cuisine that does not exist in the market could prove profitable for the stakeholder.

Latin American food is always popular, and Peruvian food is surging in global popularity currently with several Peruvian chefs winning coverted culinary prizes in the last few years.

As of current statistics these are the 5 most popular restaurant cuisines in the UK so there is a gap in the market for emerging food trends. The report will look to examine whether Cambridge fits into the same generalised food choice as the rest of the UK.

1. Pub Food 2. English 3. Chinese 4. Indian 5. Italian

Cite [1] What are the most popular types of restaurants in the UK https://beambox.com/townsquare/what-are-the-most-popular-types-of-restaurants-in-the-uk

Written by Alex Lynn

2. Data

Sources

We used an online source to scrape the districts within Cambridge. After scraping the website we were left with 14 districts within Cambridge. These districts are:

- Arbury
- Abbey
- Castle
- Cherry Hinton
- Coleridge
- East Chesterton
- Kings Hedges
- Market
- Newnham
- Petersfield
- Queen Ediths
- Romsey
- Trumpington
- West Chesterton

Cite [2] Cambridge Districts https://wiki.openstreetmap.org/wiki/Cambridge

To make the GeoJSON file, that was used with our maps, we used the boundary relation numbers listed on the "Cambridge Districts" website. Once we had these numbers we inserted them into this website which outputted a GeoJSON file for the district.

Cite [3] Polygon Creation http://polygons.openstreetmap.fr/

Then we combined all of our GeoJSON data for each district into a single GeoJSON file using Adobe Dreamweaver, adding the name of the district into the properties node of the GeoJSON file. With this data we find the centroid of each district to use as the center of our search radius.

For our venue data we used the Foursquare API. This allows us to make calls to the API to search/explore a region depnding on the latitude and longitude, categories, radius and limit when calling the API.

As we wanted to use multiple categories when searching the decision was to use the 'search' API endpoint, 'explore' will only allow a single category parameter when calling the API.

These are the Foursquare categories that we used:

- Food
- Entertainment and Nightlife spots
- Latin American Restaurants
- All others

These categories will help us to define the data that we retrieve into allocated dataframes making it easier for us to visualise the data later.

The Foursquare does have limitations in terms of the maximum allowed venues that it will return upon each call to the endpoint. To achieve the greatest possible frequency of results we itterated through each district when calling our endpoint.

Our search radius was set to 1.5 km to ensure that our coverage was broad. This coverage map shows our districts with their search radius.



Fig 1.1 - Map showing the search coverage of our districts, using 1.5km radius

^{Cite} [4] Foursquare API https://developer.foursquare.com/docs/

Retrieval and Cleansing

We start by defining the center of Cambridge using GeoLocater.

Cambridge, UK Coordinates: Lat - 52.2034823 Lon - 0.1235817.

These coordinates will be used to center our map.

Now we can get down to retrieving all of the data using the Foursquare categories that we have already listed.

To do this we need to define the process that will be carried out for each of our categories.

- 1. Loop through our dataframe of districts and perform our search using the specified category. This will return a new dataframe for the specified category including venues for all districts.
- 2. Sort dataframe by name.
- 3. Drop duplicate rows, not by name, by the whole row. There are many chain restaurants who will have multiple locations, so we need to ensure that we do not delete these.
- 4. Loop through our dataframe of venues and see which district boundary the venue falls within. If they do not fall within a district boundary we will assign a district of 'None'.
- 5. Delete any veneus that have a district of 'None'.
- 6. We will be left with a cleansed dataframe of venues.

The dataframes that we make using this procedure are:

- 1. Restaurants
- 2. Entertainment & Nightlife Spots
- 3. Latin American Restaurants
- 4. All other venues that do not fit into the above categories.

Visualisation

Before we move onto the analysis of the data that we have retrieved, it would be a good idea to view what we have gathered so far.

At this point we are only looking at the data so that it helps give us a bit of insight into the analysis that we will perform in the next section.



Restaurant Frequency within Districts

Fig 2.1 - Horizontal Bar Chart showing restaurant frequencies within districts

From this bar chart we can clearly see that Market has the highest restaurant frequency, followed by Colleridge and Petersfield, which fall a long way behind in this graph.

There is a gradual increase between all districts until we reach Market, where it more than doubles in frequency.



Restaurant Choropleth Map - Showing Frequency and Location

Fig 2.2 - Choropleth Map showing restaurant frequency within Cambridge, yellow markers incidate restaurant locations.

By using a Choropleth Map we can clearly see the frequency chart but in a geographic way. The center locations of Cambridge clearly have the greatest frequencies of restaurants, with the suburbs having less.

On this map the yellow dots indicate the restaurant locations from the data that we have retrieved using the Foursquare API.

By adding this data to the map, we can start to see clusters of restaurants, and if you look closely it can even show where the main commercial roads run.



Restaurant Choropleth Map - Latin American Restaurants included

Fig 2.3 - Choropleth Map showing Latin American restaurants with the red marker, showing 500m radius with red circle

We have added Latin American restaurants to our existing Choropleth Map, using a red information marker and a 500m radius from the restaurant location.

This will be used later when we determine our final locations, as the addressess that we choose cannot fall within 500m of an existing Latin American restaurant.



Entertainment Choropleth Map - Showing Frequency and Location

Fig 2.4 - Choropleth Map showing entertainment frequency within Cambridge, entertainment locations are the blue markers

This Choropleth Map is displaying the entertainment and nightlife spot frequency within our districts.

The blue markers on the map denote the entertainment and nightlife spots, and the yellow markers represent the restaurants.

Now that we have all the data we have gathered (excluding all other venues) we can start to see clear clusters. There is a big cluster in the centre of Cambridge, with small clusters further away from the centre.

3. Methodology

Now that we have gathered the data from scraping, and from our geojson file we can start to analyise the results.

Data gathered includes:

- Districts
- Centroid locations for Districts
- DataFrame for All Venues within each District
- DataFrame for Restaurants within each District
- · DataFrame for Entertainment within each District
- DataFrame for Latin American restaurants within each District

We also visualised that data retrieved in the form of Choropleth Maps, adding circle markers to the maps so we could see if there are any obvious clusters.

Our goal is to find the a suitable location for a new restaurant in a location where there are no Latin American restaurants and a populous entertainment and nightlife cluster.

In the analysis section we will use the following methods:

- Show that Cambridge fits into the same popular restaurant types as the rest of the UK.
- Clustering using K-Means our districts using the data we have for all venues within each district. This will help us to find the most populous districts for entertainment, we will then use this cluster to find appropriate locations.
- Scatter Plot using to show the correlation between restaurant and entertainment venues.
- DBSCAN Clustering will be used to find clusters in entertainment venues within a district.

The final product of the research will be to provide an address for each of the districts within the most populous entertainment cluster. The address will be located in the centroid position of the most populous entertainment cluster for each district, and not within 500m of a competing Latin American restaurant.

4. Analysis

In this section we hope to show suitable locations for the Peruvian Restaurant.

To start we will confirm that Cambridge does follow the normal trend in most popular restaurants compared to the UK.

Then we will cluster the districts into similar clusters using K-Means.

After that we will see if there is a correlation between the frequency of restaurants and entertainment venues.

Following on from that using DBSCAN we will find the cluster with the densest entertainment venues within the k-means cluster that we have chosen to look into. This will be used later to find the ideal location for the restaurant. The clustering will use the Latitude and Longitude of the entertainment venues.

Lastly the final anaylsis will be to find the ideal locations, we will get the mean of the most popular clusters from the DBSCAN step. This will get us a centralised latitude and longitude for the cluster, then we will need to confirm that this is not within a 500km radius of any other Latin American restaurant.

Does Cambridge follow the same food popularity that the rest of the UK does?

As of current statistics these are the 5 most popular restaurant cuisines in the UK

- 1. Pub Food
- 2. English
- 3. Chinese
- 4. Indian
- 5. Italian

This data was found earlier, now we need to check the top 10 restaurant categories within Cambridge. Our data tells us that there are 47 unique restaurant categories within Cambridge.

When we group by restaurant category and perform a count function we are display with the following information:

30	6. Fast Food	8
21	7. Bakery	7
14	8. Gastropub	7
10	9. Pizza Place	6
8	10. Restaurant	6
	30 21 14 10 8	306. Fast Food217. Bakery148. Gastropub109. Pizza Place810. Restaurant

Looking at the list of top 10 restaurants, we can clearly see there are some that should be removed as they do not fit into the restaurant category.

- 1. Chinese 14
- 2. Indian 10
- 3. Gastropub 7
- 4. Pizza Place 6
- 5. Restaurant 6

Once we have stripped away the food venues which do not fit into the restaurant category we can start to see the frequency shaping up in the way that we would expect.

However, the pub category has a very small frequency. Where are all the pubs?

Looking at the entertainment dataframe it shows that pub has the maximum frequency. Therefore we must merge both dataframes to get a more accurate result.

After the merge our new frequency distribution table shows that the top 5 (after removing non-restaurants) is the following:

- 1. Pub/Gastropub 65
- 2. Chinese 15
- 3. Indian 10
- 4. Pizza/Italian 9

This shows us that the UK most popular restaurant type data that we aquired earlier is correct for Cambridge.

One of the categories is missing, being English Restaurant, but that maybe due to the categories of Foursquare not being completely accurate.

There are restaurants listed within our Foursquare search, maybe they are English. If we were to include them into our frequency distribution table English would be placed in 5th place with a frequency of 6.

As we do not know the exact category of Restaurant within our dataframe we will not include this in our table.

After Clustering with K-Means, what are the similar districts?

In this section we will look at clustering the districts using K-Means. K-Means nearest neighbour is a machine learning function that takes a set of numerical data and clusters the data into clusters depending on the K number that you have defined.

To perform a K-Mean cluster we need to use One-Hot-Encoding to turn our venue categories into numerical data. At the moment it is in our dataframe in a text format. One-Hot-Encoding will transfrom the category into a new column within our dataframe and add a 1 to the column that we have created.

As we want to include all data, not just restaurant and entertainment venues to find similar districts, we combine our three dataframes from earlier (all venues, restaurant and entertainment)

After performing One-Hot-Encoding, and grouping our data by district, our dataframe looks like this.

	District	American Restaurant	Antique Shop	Arcade	Arepa Restaurant	Art Gallery	Arts & Entertainment	Asian Restaurant	Automotive Shop	BBQ Joint		Track Stadium	Trail	Train Station	Tree	Turk Restaur
0	Abbey	0.000000	0.0	0.000000	0.0	0.000000	0.0	0.000000	0.027778	0.0		0.0	0.000000	0.0	0.0	
1	Arbury	0.000000	0.0	0.000000	0.0	0.000000	0.0	0.000000	0.000000	0.0		0.0	0.000000	0.0	0.0	
2	Castle	0.000000	0.0	0.000000	0.0	0.066667	0.0	0.000000	0.000000	0.0		0.0	0.033333	0.0	0.0	
3	Cherry Hinton	0.000000	0.0	0.043478	0.0	0.000000	0.0	0.000000	0.000000	0.0		0.0	0.000000	0.0	0.0	
4	Coleridge	0.019231	0.0	0.000000	0.0	0.019231	0.0	0.019231	0.000000	0.0		0.0	0.000000	0.0	0.0	
5 1	5 rows × 157 columns															

As you can see, we now have 156 numerical columns, and one containing the district name.

Before we fit our dataframe using K-Means, it would be useful to visualise what our K number should be. This is called K-Optimization, and we use a line chart called a K-Elbow.

K-Elbow Diagrams - Distortian and Inertia Methods

Fig 4.1 - K-Elbow line charts displaying Distortion Method & Inertia Method

After getting our K-Elbow diagram, we can see that there is not a huge elbow in either the distortion or inertia methods.

As K-Means works by selecting a random centroid for each cluster, if you repeat the functions it will change everytime. After running our function several times the most positive K number was 4 as it was regulary elbowing.

Our diagram is showing that the data within our dataframe is pretty similar.

If there were clear differences in our data between districts we would expect to see a more defined K-Elbow.

After performing our K-Means function on our data using a K of 4,, we end up with the following clustering of distrcits.

K-Means Neighbours - Clustering of Districts using all venue data

Fig 4.2 - K-Means Neighbour Clustering Diagram - Districts divided into 4 clusters using restaurants, entertainment and all other venues

The cluster with the most entertainment venues will be used in our final analysis, where we find the addressess of appropriate locations for the stakeholder.

Is there a correlation between the frequency of restaurant and entertainment venues?

By choosing an area that is dense in entertainment and nightlife spots, will the stakeholder also have to endure competition from a higher proportion of restaurants?

By default, most people will say yes to this question, as it appears obvious. Let us find out whether this is correct.

To start we will group our restaurant and entertainment dataframes by district and perform a count function.

For curiousity we also calculated the max, min, median and mean of both dataframes.

----- Entertainment -----Mean: 10.93 Min : 2 Max : 40 Med : 8

----- Restaurant -----

Mean: 13.0

Min : 3

Max : 53 Med : 10

Restaurant and Entertainment venues - Frequency Bar Chart

Fig 4.3 - Horizontal Bar Chart displaying restaurant and entertainment frequencies within the 14 districts

From our chart we can see that the 3 districts with the most frequency of restaurants are also the top 3 districts for entertainment venues too.

Does this mean that there is correlation between the two?

To provide more detailed analysis, we can use a scatter plot, to see whether there is any type of linear regression.

Linear Regression Scatter Plot - Restaurant and Entertainment

Fig 4.4 - Scatter plot showing Linear Regression line with 95% confidence intervals either side of the line.

In the scatter plot we can clearly see that there is a linear regression line showing correlation. Our 95% confidence interval gets wider the more frequent in restaurants and entertainment venues. This is due to not having enough data at the top end, there is a big difference in frequency between the top district and the second district.

Using DBSCAN Clustering to find the most populous cluster within District

Before we can find the most suitable address for the new restaurant, we need to locate which cluster of entertainment venues, within a district, is the most populous.

When we clustered our districts earlier we did so to narrow our search for the best districts. After the clustering we were left with 4 clusters, and looking at the data and districts that already contain Latin American restaurants, we decided to use Cluster 2 which contained 5 districts.

- 1. Market
- 2. Petersfield
- 3. West Chesterton
- 4. Trumpington
- 5. Kings Hedges

The original plan was to find the top 5 most populous districts within our cluster, but our cluster only contains 5 distrcits anyway.

Firstly we will use a scatter plot to find the density of entertainment venues according to Latitude and Longitude

This will help us to find the areas with the most venues. To display the clusters we will use the DBSCAN method.

DBSCAN does not need to be told how many clusters to use, instead it uses EPS and minimum sample size to calculate.

DBSCAN uses the EPS to look within a radius of the points and work out whether another point is within this radius. If it is within the radius then that point is added to the cluster, then the method moves onto the next point etc... until it gives you clusters.

The following pages show the results of our DBSCAN clustering on our 5 selected districts.

Fig 4.6 - DBSCAN - Petersfield (Latitude and Longitude)

DBSCAN Scatter Plots - From our 5 selected Districts

Fig 4.9 - DBSCAN - Kings Hedges (Latitude and Longitude)

Now that we have the infromation from the scatter plots, we can see that the most poulous clusters for entertainment are:

- 1. Market Cluster 0 (15)
- 2. Petersfield Cluster 1 (13)
- 3. West Chesterton Cluster 1 (9)
- 4. Trumpington Cluster 0 (5)
- 5. Kings Hedges Cluster -1 (4)

Kings Hedges does not have many entertainment venues, and are not located in clusters, therefore we will just use all 4 points when calculating the perfect location.

What is the best address for the new restaurant within our selected districts?

This is our final analysis, and the purpose for the research. We will be taking our 5 selected districts, and the cluster of most populous entertainment venues to find an address at the centre of this cluster. The restaurant must not be within a 500m radius of any existing Latin American restaurant.

Using the latitude and longitude from the cluster of entertainment venues, we first calculate the centroid position. As the distance is very small between the entertainment venues we can take the mean of the latitude and longitude positions.

If we were calculating this over larger distances we would need to use a different method, to allow for the curvature of the earth.

The mean position that we have got for each district will be our desired location for the new restaurant. Let us check to make sure that the locations are suitable. Entertainment venues from our cluster are shown in blue.

Market - Map showing Ideal Location

Fig 4.10 - Map showing the ideal location for a restaurant in Market - Location is within 500m of existing Latin American Restaurant

Figure 4.10 shows that our ideal location within Market falls within the 500m radius of a pre-existing Latin American restaurant. We will need to find a location outside this radius. Let us see how far away the restaurant is to our point by looping through the Latin American restaurants and comparing the distances.

Distance is: 2.1 Km Lat: 52.19763631707918 Lng: 0.14491176009346454 Distance is: 1.68 Km Lat: 52.20932828 Lng: 0.14487663 Distance is: 1.58 Km Lat: 52.208076 Lng: 0.14340329 Distance is: 0.25 Km Lat: 52.21022973296178 Lng: 0.1173092001522491 Distance is: 1.42 Km Lat: 52.200508 Lng: 0.135943

As we are only talking a very small distance, in this case 250m, that we have to move our restaurant, we can take the bearing between the Latin American Restaurant and our ideal location.

Angle is: 128.31313175877216 °

Let us move the point on this angle 500m from our Latin American restaurant. This leaves us with our final position.

+ Madingley Roa A1303 A1134 Jesus Colle je Univers St John's of Cambridge) College (University of Cambridge) eld (Trir ollege etty Cury Cambridge Library Sidgwick Cricke Hyde Parl Leaflet | Data by OpenStreetMap, under

Market - Map showing Ideal Location

Fig 4.11 - Map showing the ideal location for a restaurant in Market after we move the location point.

Let's repeat this for the remaining neighbourhoods, and if neede we will impliment the same process to move our new point.

Kings Hedges - Map showing Ideal Location

Fig 4.12 - Map showing the ideal location for a restaurant in Kings Hedges

Trumpington - Map showing Ideal Location

Fig 4.13 - Map showing the ideal location for a restaurant in Trumpington

West Chesterton - Map showing Ideal Location

Fig 4.14 - Map showing the ideal location for a restaurant in West Chesterton

Petersfield - Map showing Ideal Location

Fig 4.15 - Map showing the ideal location for a restaurant in Petersfield - Location is within 500m Radius.

West Chesterton - Map showing Ideal Location after moving point

Fig 4.16 - Map showing the ideal location for a restaurant in Petersfield after moving location of point outside 500m radius.

Now that we have a latitude and longitude point for each of our 5 districts, lets use these points to find the address.

To do this we use the Geopy library, by providing the latitude and longitude points it will give us an address.

-----Address -----Market Address: Malcolm Place, King Street, Petersfield, Cambridge, Cambridgeshire, East of England, England, CB1 1LS, United Kingdom -----Trumpington Address: The Leys School, Bateman Street, Newtown, Cambridge, Cambridgeshire, East of England, England, CB2 7AD, United Kingdom Petersfield Address: 6, Edward Street, Petersfield, Cambridge, Cambridgeshire, East of England, England, CB1 2LS, United Kingdom -----West Chesterton Address: 3, Ferry Path, Petersfield, Cambridge, Cambridgeshire, East of England, England, CB4 1HB, United Kingdom Kings Hedges Address:

147, Campkin Road, Chesterton, Cambridge, Cambridgeshire, East of England, England, CB4 2NP, United Kingdom

5. Results

These are the results that we have taken from our analysis. At the start of the analysis section we set out to show the following:

Does Cambridge follow the same food popularity that the rest of the UK does?

From examining the results that we achieved we can see that in general Cambridge does fit with the overall UK top restaurant list.

We noticed that pubs were not included in the food category, so we had to combine restaurants and entertainment dataframes to include pubs in the tally.

Once we included entertainment our sample data looked like the following, only including categories listed in the top 5 report::

- 1. Pub/Gastropub (65)
- 2. Chinese (15)
- 3. Indian (10)
- 4. Pizza/Italian (9)

This shows a close correlation to the UK top 5 that we have found:

- 1. Pub Food
- 2. English
- 3. Chinese
- 4. Indian
- 5. Italian

After Clustering with K-Means, what are the similar districts?

In this section we used K-Means to make clusters to show similarities within Districts. For this we used a DataFrame which uses all venue type frequencies listed for all 156 unique categories.

To make sure that we optimised our K-Means cluster we created a K-Elbow diagram for Distortion and Inertia. This model did not really show us a K-Elbow with a defined elbow, but from the diagram we decided to use a K number of 4.

The resulting clusters were as follows:

Cluster 0

- Arbury
- Castle
- Cherry Hinton
- Coleridge
- Newnham
- Queen Ediths
- Romsey

Cluster 1

• Abbey

Cluster 2

- Kings Hedges
- Market
- Petersfield
- Trumpington
- West Chesterton

Cluster 3

• East Chesterton

As the majority of current Latin American restaurants are located in Cluster 2 it was selected to research further.

One of the criteria was to find an area with a high density of entertainment, so we used the top 5 districts for entertainment frequency. In this cluster, we only had 5 available districts, the final selected districts were:

- Kings Hedges
- Market
- Petersfield
- Trumpington
- West Chesterton

Is there a correlation between the frequency of restaurant and entertainment venues?

The information gained from our sample data shows that there is a correlation between restaurant and entertainment venue frequency. Using our horizontal bar chart we can show that there is in the majority of instances more restaurants than entertainment venues, with a couple of outliers.

Using a scatter plot we were able to plot a linear regression. To create a more accurate regression line there would need to be more diversity in the frequencies. Currently there is a big interval between the most populous district and the second most populous district.

Using DBSCAN Clustering to find the most populous cluster within District

As we want our venue to be located near a populous area for entertainment venues we can try to find the most suitable location

Now that we have the results from the clustering narrowed our search to 5 districts. Using DBSCAN to create a scatter plot with latitude and longitude points for the entertainment venues within the districts we created a cluster of entertainment venues.

We took the cluster information and used it to create a list of the venues within the most populous cluster of venues. This information will be used to calculate the ideal location within the districts.

What is the best address for the new restaurant within our selected districts?

In the last stage we took the mean of all of the coordinates from our list of entertainment venues within the prefered cluster and calculated the mean coordinate. With this mean coordinate for our three chosen districts we got the following addressess:

Market

Malcolm Place, King Street, Petersfield, Cambridge. CBI 1LS

Trumpington

The Leys School, Bateman Street, Newtown, Cambridge. CB2 7AD

Petersfield

6, Edward Street, Petersfield, Cambridge. CB1 2LS

West Chesterton

3, Ferry Path, Petersfield, Cambridge. CB41HB

Kings Hedges

147, Campkin Road Chesterton, Cambridge. CB4 2NP

These locations can be used by the stakeholder to inform the letting agent of the ideal location that they would like to find a restaurant.

6. Discussion

From the results achieved it can be seen that there are other factors we could use to find a more suitable district, if we feel that our research was not details enough.

For instance, as the comparison between the UK top 5 and Cambridge top 5 is very similar we could cluster more neighbourhoods from other citys to get more accurate clusters.

Cambridge is a fairly small city as we can see from the frequency of restaurants. Perhaps this research could be calculated using a larger city, for instance London, where we could increase the sample size radius and then using our clustering model we could use it on Cambridge.

Another approach could be to take the restaurants and entertainments coordinates and perform a DBSCAN to find the clusters with the densest of both items to make our search regions, rather than using districts. As the stakeholder wants to find an area with a high density of entertainment venues we can assume, from our correlation information, that the area will also be dense with restaurants too.

Another option would be to take the ratings of the entertainment venues within Cambridge and only select venues which are above a certain rating. This would mean that we could ensure that our chosen locations are within a cluster of top rated venues.

Lastly, we could look at the mean lease price for retail outlets within these districts so that the stakeholder can see the financial implications too.

7. Conclusion

Our research goal was to find suitable districts for the stakeholder's new restaurant, and to locate and area within the district which would be most suitable, and do not have a Latin American restaurant within 500m.

From our results we can see the following:

Cambridge follows the UK in terms of the most popular restaurant types. This could help us with further research if required.

There is a clear correlation between the frequency of restaurant and entertainment venues, so if the stakeholder wants a high density of entertainment venues they will have more competition from other restaurants.

Of the addressess that we retrieved from our final analysis, the most suitable appears to be in Market with the highest cluster of entertainment venues (15) situated in close proximity. The Market area also has a high density of restaurants, and we can make an assumption that due to the densities of both restaurant and entertainment venues the lease price in the area will be the highest.

Market with an entertainment total of 40 and a restaurant total of 53 clearly shows that Market is the most populous in both aspects having the max in both charts.

Entertainment

Mean: 10.93 Min: 2 Max: 40 Med: 8

Restaurant

Mean: 13 Min : 3 Max : 53 Med : 10